

**Minutes of Whakaraupō / Lyttelton Harbour
Catchment Management Plan Partners Working Group**

Tuesday 28th February 2017
ECan 200 Tuam Street

Meeting title	Whakaraupō Partner Working Group
Date	Tuesday 28 th February 2017
Time	12.30 – 2.30 pm
Venue	ECan, 200 Tuam Street
Invited	Kim Kelleher, Clive Appleton, Terri Young, Matthew Ross, Bianca Sullivan, Lesley Woudberg, Ian Lloyd, Tania Butterfield, Donald Couch, Jed O’Donoghue
In attendance	Kim Kelleher, Kelvin McMillan, Terri Young, Matthew Ross, Lesley Woudberg, Ian Lloyd, Donald Couch, Jed O’Donoghue
Apologies	Bianca Sullivan, Tania Butterfield, Clive Appleton

Item	Action
1. Apologies a. Apologies received from Bianca Sullivan and Clive Appleton	
2. Confirmation of minutes a. Minutes from the 14 th February meeting confirmed with no amendments.	
3. Matters arising a. MR and BS met re. the process of drafting the Plan. It was determined that MR would draft a ‘straw person’ (padded out framework) of the plan to present to the PWG.	MR to present plan framework at 14 th March meeting
4. Governance group update a. Meeting to be held on 2 nd March. Thank you to those who provided comments on the draft paper circulated the previous week.	

<p>5. Update on Science Advisory Group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. DC presented on his SAG cultural health table. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Value: Cultural health. General issue: Cultural landscape. Sub-issue: Place names ii. Value: Cultural health. Major issue: Mahinga kai. Sub-issue: bivalves and beaches iii. Value: Cultural health. Major issue: Cultural landscapes. Sub-issue: Sites of significance b. TY gave an update on the status of the issues and options paper. A draft is expected to be completed for the end of March. c. SAG will continue working into April to complete the integrated monitoring plan. 	
<p>6. Budgets and resourcing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. There is no update to report beyond that which is in the Governance Paper 	<p>BS to update the PWG at the next meeting</p>
<p>7. Vision / objectives paper</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. BS off sick – to be postponed to a later meeting 	
<p>8. Cultural values</p> <p>Donald presented various papers on cultural values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Restoration of cultural health as mahinga kai <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Proposes separation of ecological health and cultural health in the Plan. MR to use this as a guide for ‘straw person’ paper. ii. In discussion, why are there specifically 10 indicator species? What was the criteria for selecting them? Have they been selected for their connection with the ecosystem and what they can tell us re. the health of the ecosystem? iii. The term ‘indicator species’ is being understood differently by different members of the group. DC – indicators = species of cultural importance iv. We need to ensure when proposing the indicator species to the SAG, we specify <u>why</u> they have been selected and <u>what</u> we are proposing they can tell us b. Mahinga kai plan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. How do we evaluate as a partnership whether proposed projects are viable or not? What process should we use to prioritise projects/actions? c. Closure of harbour to commercial fishing 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Are there powers under the mataitai reserve to close the harbour to commercial fishing? <u>Yes there are.</u> ii. Is the data there to prove commercial fishing is having an impact on mahinga kai? Where does the burden of proof lie when proposing a commercial closure? Should the action be to investigate if commercial fishing is having an impact on mahinga kai rather than to propose a closure? iii. Is it the role of the partnership to propose a closure or Te Hāpu o Ngāti Wheke under the revised mataitai reserve? d. Rāpaki fishing holes e. Potential mahinga kai projects – to note f. Whakaraupō mahinga kai – cultural and ecological health (ki moana) – outlines to note g. Whakaraupō mahinga kai – cultural and ecological health (ki uta ki tai) – outlines to note h. Mahaanui Iwi Management Plan – 6.6 Whakaraupō – to note i. Whakaraupō catchment management plan – Pipi <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. What is the recreational fishing pressure in Whakaraupō? j. Whakaraupō catchment management plan – Tuaki k. Whakaraupō catchment management plan – Pioke l. Whakaraupō catchment management plan – Patiki m. Whakaraupō catchment management plan – Paua n. Whakaraupō catchment management plan – Kutai o. Whakaraupō beaches inventory <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Is there a risk of identifying where the shellfish beds are? There are ways to restrict the information from the general public if desired. 	
<p>9. Any other business</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Other groups update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. ECAN is working with the Summit Road Society and the QEII to address the response to the Port Hills fire on the harbour side. ii. The plan is to source native seeds from the Peninsula and aerial dropping over the affected area. Any assistance with collecting Kānuka/Mānuka seed welcome. iii. All available seedlings have been bought from Motukarara for planting. 	

Next meeting: Date: Tuesday 14th March 2017, 12.30 – 2.30pm

Location: 200 Tuam Street (unless someone else would like to host?)