Minutes

Meeting title	Whakaraupō Partners Working Group meeting		
Date	Tuesday 29th August 2017		
Time	12.30 – 2.30 pm		
Venue	ECan, 200 Tuam Street (Rakahuri meeting room, Ground Floor)		
Chair	Yvette Couch-Lewis		
Invitees	Yvette Couch-Lewis, Kim Kelleher, Clive Appleton, Matthew Ross, Bianca Sullivan, Ian Lloyd, Tania Butterfield, Debbie Hogan, Jed O'Donoghue, Christina Robb, Gillian Ensor, Courtney Bennett, Olivia Smith, Kelvin McMillan, Charlotte O'Sullivan		
In attendance	Yvette Couch-Lewis, Kim Kelleher, Christina Robb, Matthew Ross, Kelvin McMillan, Charlotte O'Sullivan, Bianca Sullivan, Ian Lloyd, Jed O'Donoghue,		
Apologies	Debbie Hogan, Olivia Smith, Clive Appleton, Gillian Ensor, Tania Butterfield, Courtney Bennett,		

Item		Action
1.	Apologies a. Apologies were received from Debbie Hogan, Olivia Smith, Clive Appleton, Gillian Ensor, Tania Butterfield, Courtney Bennett,	
2.	Confirmation of minutes a. There was discussion about the need for minutes from the 22nd August meeting. It was decided that minutes are needed and Christina will prepare.	Christina to prepare minutes for 22nd August meeting
3.	Matters carried over from meeting held 16 May a. Implementation paper completed (Matt/Yvette) – still working on this	

Item		Action
	 a. Courtney had circulated a draft to the PWG – it has still to have the Part 2 information on current state for intertidal and marine, and future state for all bands. The section on implementation has still to be drafted b. Yvette asked PWG members for feedback on the draft. Feedback was positive about the korowai and the style of writing. c. The bands and how many to use, and which species has still to be resolved. d. Ian will write some background information on streams e. Jed asked if Whakarama and kayaking could be added as users of the harbour f. The reference to mahinga kai and sediment should be strengthened including putting the sediment table first. g. The full LPRP vision needs to go in. h. Kim talked about a graphic she has seen showing different zones in the marine area i. There was agreement that the logic flow from the early parts of the draft to the projects still needs some work, but there are differing views on how much change is needed – is it just to make the logic obvious or is it to set it out completely differently. Christina provided the attached tables to start discussion on scope of the remaining bits of work. Bianca will contact Ingrid Gumby about availability. j. There was a similar discussion relating to the scienfitic robustness of the draft and the articulation of key threats. k. The PWG asked that Courtney provide a complete draft to go to the Governance group on Friday based on all information she had to date. 	Christina to provide Courtney with feedback on specifics Bianca to provide wording to Courtney to strengthen reference to sediment and mahinga kai, and full LPRP vision lan to send wording on streams to Courtney Kim to provide copy of graphic showing marine zones.
5.	Actions/Projects update a. It was noted that the tables are now much tighter but now need comments from partners.	PWG to provide comments to Gillian on action tables by Wednesday next week
6.	Logo and CMP publication design a. We are still waiting on the designs from Te Ariki	Yvette to continue to liaise with Te Ariki
7.	Governance Group (GG) is meeting on 6 September. a. Christina will circulate a draft report from PWG	Christina to circulate draft PWG report to Governance group
8.	Budgetting and resourcing a. Christina has met with councils over existing work programmes and noted that existing budgets will enable the PWG to progress work on sediment, pollution,and terrestrial biodiversity. The marine biodiversity actions are not funded from existing budgets.	Christina to raise funding of marine biodiversity actions with Governance group

Next PWG meetings:

Tuesday 12th September, 12.30-2.30 (ECan, Rakahuri meeting room)

Table as to PWG 29 August 2017

		Scope		
1	Part II – Current state – intertidal and harbour			
2	Part II - Future state			
3	Scientific credibility	A review to assess:	Who?	
4	Articulation of key threats	 Achievability of future state Accuracy of current state Red flags Important facts, cause/effects missing Ring true in terms of prioritisation and order of projects? 		
5	Partnership projects		PWG	
6	Implementation including lead agency and monitoring of the plan			
7	Limitations and constraints			
8	Collaboration among partners given enough emphasis and where?			
9	Introduction - why the plan is needed? Vision statement, time frames			
10	Aspirational goals Goals for sedimentation and mahinga kai			
11	Part 1 – history, balance			
12	Explanation of regulatory framework			
13	Strategic pathway	A review of the structure and		
14	Inter-relationships between the goals, pou, key focus areas and spatial bands	Can identify for each action how it links to earlier parts		
15	Action tables – prioritisation and logical flow	of the plan? Is it clear why prioritised some actions?		
16	Link to other broader government strategies			
17	Maps and info graphics			