

Minutes of Whakaraupō / Lyttelton Harbour Science Advisory Group

Tuesday 19th December 2016 ECan, 200 Tuam Street

Meeting title	Whakaraupō Science Advisory Group – Meeting 4	
Date	Tuesday 19 th December 2016	
Time	10:00 – 11:00 am	
Venue	nue ECan, 200 Tuam Street	
Invited	Deirdre Hart, Tasman Gillies, Ian Lynn, Tim Davie, Bianca Sullivan, Terri Young, Donald Couch	
In attendance	endance Ian Lynn, Tim Davie, Bianca Sullivan, Terri Young, Donald Couch	
Apologies	ologies Deirdre Hart, Tasman Gillies	

Item	Action	
1. Apolog	ies	
2. Confirr a.	nation of agenda Due to absences, the agenda was changed from that circulated prior to the meeting. The new agenda was proposed by the Chair and agreed by the group.	
3. Confirma.	nation of minutes / actions outstanding The SAG TOR was revisited with reference to the meeting notes from the SAG/PWG workshop held on the 6 th December. The scope of discussions during the workshop became quite broad in terms of including socioeconomic factors. It was questioned whether socioeconomics should be within the scope of the CMP. It was noted that the SAG/PWG workshop did finish with an agreement that, while the PWG needs to be aware of these issues and ensure the CMP reflects the social values in the harbour, the issues should be biophysical only.	

4.	Mahinga kai as an indicator/issue		TD to do file our
		Concerns were raised with regards to the number of issues in the table and the absence of mahinga kai as an issue. An alternative approach to displaying the table was proposed with mahinga kai as the issue, with biophysical drivers (such as sedimentation and water quality), and impacts (such as cultural health), flowing from there.	TD – to draft some text for issues and options paper / Plan on different approaches to 'key issues' SAG – to develop x2
	C.	It was recognised that both approaches highlight the problems that are impacting on the ecological and cultural health of the harbour.	issues tables illustrating both
	d.	The question is – how does the SAG advice fit into the structure of the final plan? Understanding that would help inform the style of outputs from the SAG. As the structure of the plan is still in discussion, it would be helpful to have two tables illustrating both approaches. It is then for the PWG to determine which approach best fits with the structure and style of the Plan when drafting.	approaches
5.	SAG m	embership	BS – to invite Islay to
	a.	It was agreed that there is a gap in biological expertise within the SAG that needs to be filled. Islay Marsden of University of Canterbury was recommended by Deirdre Hart and seconded by Tim Davie. Full agreement was reached to approach Islay ASAP re. joining the SAG.	join the SAG in the New Year.
6.	Meetir	ng schedule	TY – to send out
	a.	Due to the Christmas holidays and the tight time frame of the project, it was agreed that the SAG should meet twice in January to try to catch up.	doodle poll and confirm x2 meetings in January before the holidays.
7.	Any other business		DC – to circulate
	a.	 i. Inventory of beaches within Whakaraupō - habitat types, with a view to identifying appropriate sites for transplant of mahinga kai species (cockle, pipi and paua). 	electronic copies of the proposals for the wider group
		 ii. Rāpaki fishing holes – trenching within the Mataitai Reserve to create fishing holes for traditional customary fishing. 	

Next meeting: Date: TBC

Location: TBC