

## Minutes of Whakaraupō / Lyttelton Harbour Catchment Management Plan Partners Working Group

Monday 7<sup>th</sup> November 2016  
ECan, 200 Tuam Street, Chch

Meeting title	Whakaraupō Partner Working Group - Workshop
Date	Monday 7 <sup>th</sup> November 2016
Time	12.30 – 2.30 pm
Venue	200 Tuam Street, Chch
Invited	Kim Kelleher, Clive Appleton, Terri Young, Matthew Ross, Bianca Sullivan, Lesley Woudberg, Ian Lloyd, Tania Butterfield, Yvette Couch-Lewis, Donald Couch
In attendance	Kelvin McMillan, Terri Young, , Bianca Sullivan, Lesley Woudberg, Ian Lloyd, Yvette Couch-Lewis, Donald Couch, Kim Kelleher, Tania Butterfield
Apologies	Matthew Ross, Clive Appleton

Item	Action
1. Format of the session was agreed <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. What the catchment management plan might look like</li> <li>b. Programme of work to get there</li> </ul>	
2. General discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. MOU               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Agreed that the MOU will need to be reviewed to see if fit for purpose for second phase of the programme (partnership, funding etc)</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. Update from YCL re. discussions on Rūnunga input.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Rūnunga consultation process underway</li> <li>ii. Ngāti Wheke want to take a leadership role in the direction of the programme</li> <li>iii. DC will be the primary representative from Ngāti Wheke on the PWG with YCL in support</li> <li>iv. YCL will be the primary representative from Ngāti Wheke on the Governance group with DC in support</li> </ul> </li> <li>c. Update from BS on the 1<sup>st</sup> SAG meeting.</li> </ul> <p>AGREED: The group supports DC in taking the position of Chair of the PWG</p>	TY – Circulate SAG minutes once confirmed, and dates of upcoming meetings / field trip
3. BS introduced the Porirua Harbour CMP document - similar process, light and accessible style.	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. There was general agreement that the style of the plan was in line with what PWG members were envisaging for the Whakaraupō CMP.</li> <li>b. The actions are categorised under education, research etc</li> <li>c. While the style of the Porirua Harbour plan is fit for purpose, we must ensure we emphasise the uniqueness of the Whakaraupō catchment (working landscape, mahinga kai, recreation) with very active community groups.</li> </ul>	
<p>4. Mahinga kai should be the core focus of the programme</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Mahinga kai species are indicators of ecological and cultural health. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Ecological – Species require a healthy environment to thrive including water quality, sediment profile, connectivity for new recruits</li> <li>ii. Cultural – Quality and quantity should be such that cultural activities can be fulfilled (how the kai is gathered, what it is used for, feeding manuhiri)</li> <li>iii. Therefore good and plentiful kaimoana indicates good status of ecological and cultural health.</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. Prominent mahinga kai species change over time. There is a core group of kai species (6-10) that could be developed as indicators of health <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. DC and YCL to select the core group of mahinga kai species and present to SAG for review. These species should include terrestrial and aquatic species of flora and fauna.</li> <li>ii. DC highlighted the ECan cockle report, “Cockle populations in Lyttelton Harbour / Whakaraupō (2013)”, as a good baseline for monitoring stock status. Recommend as an action in CMP to undertake similar study for each of the selected indicator species.</li> </ul> </li> <li>c. It is recognised that mahinga kai is overarching and therefore cuts across environmental, social, cultural and economic concerns. However, while they are related, additional indicators of health would need to be defined for these other areas. Vision should perhaps have two levels: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Mahinga kai</li> <li>ii. Environmental, social, cultural, economic</li> </ul> </li> <li>d. Native trees are also important mahinga kai and cut across the different areas of concern <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Bark for weaving, fruit for food, education and storytelling, soil stabilisation (ecological and economic returns) recreation and landscape values.</li> </ul> </li> <li>e. Raupō is an important species that compliments mahinga kai and the CMP should include actions for its regeneration.</li> </ul>	<p>DC – to propose core group of mahinga kai species (to represent catchment and harbour) and develop 1 pager on each</p>

<p>5. Programme of work for the next five months up to April 2017.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Agreed that the project team (Bianca &amp; Terri) would write the plan with guidance, input and review from the PWG.</li> <li>b. Add a section 'Partnership principles' to the draft contents page. These will help guide the partnership through the second (implementation) phase of the programme <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Partnership / collaboration</li> <li>ii. Education</li> <li>iii. Ki uta ki tai</li> <li>iv. Celebrate uniqueness</li> <li>v. Balance</li> <li>vi. Communities</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>BS/TY – to revise the draft contents to reflect discussions</p> <p>BS/TY - draft a 5 month POW and circulate for next meeting</p> <p>DC/BS/TY/LW – to meet in 1 week to discuss progress and agenda for next meeting</p>
--	---

**Next meeting:** Date: Tuesday 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2016

Location:

Chair: Donald Couch